Lowering operators for $\mathrm{O}^{+}(9) \rightarrow \mathrm{O}^{+}(3)$ and $\mathrm{O}^{+}(7) \rightarrow \mathrm{O}^{+}(3)$

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.
1974 J. Phys. A: Math. Nucl. Gen. 71497
(http://iopscience.iop.org/0301-0015/7/13/003)

View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details:
IP Address: 171.66.16.87
The article was downloaded on 02/06/2010 at 04:52

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

# Lowering operators for $\mathrm{O}^{+}(9) \rightarrow \mathrm{O}^{+}(3)$ and $\mathrm{O}^{+}(7) \rightarrow \mathrm{O}^{+}(3)$ 

L S R K Prasad<br>Department of Applied Mathematics, Andhra University, Waltair-530003, India

Received 5 December 1973, in final form 19 March 1974


#### Abstract

Lowering operators for $\mathrm{O}^{+}(9) \rightarrow \mathrm{O}^{+}(3)$ and $\mathrm{O}^{+}(7) \rightarrow \mathrm{O}^{+}(3)$ are explicitly constructed. This solves the problem of obtaining an $\mathrm{O}^{+}(3)$ basis (non-orthogonal) for irreducible representations of $\mathrm{U}(9)$ and $\mathrm{U}(7)$.


## 1. Introduction

In the application of group theoretical techniques to physical problems, like the spectroscopy of a single $l$ shell, one has to deal with the group chain

$$
\mathrm{SU}(2 l+1) \supset \mathrm{O}^{+}(2 l+1) \supset \mathrm{O}^{+}(3)
$$

Prasad (1972) has obtained explicitly a polynomial basis for the irreducible representations (IR) of $\mathrm{U}(n)$ in the chain $\mathrm{U}(n) \supset \mathrm{O}^{+}(n)$. But to be of physical significance one has to give an $\mathrm{O}^{+}(3)$ basis for an IR of $\mathrm{U}(n)$. Hughes (1973) has given operators useful for obtaining an orthogonal basis for $\mathrm{SU}(3) \supset \mathrm{O}^{+}(3)$. Flores et al (1965) gave the lowering operators for $\mathrm{O}^{+}(5) \rightarrow \mathrm{O}^{+}(3)$ and $\mathrm{SU}(3) \rightarrow \mathrm{O}^{+}(3)$, and their basis is a non-orthogonal one. Adopting the method of Flores et al (1965) and using the fact that the generators of any semi-simple Lie group can be expressed (Stone 1961) as the generators of a subgroup together with irreducible tensor operators under this subgroup, we explicitly construct here lowering operators for $\mathrm{O}^{+}(9) \rightarrow \mathrm{O}^{+}(3)$ and $\mathrm{O}^{+}(7) \rightarrow \mathrm{O}^{+}(3)$, as these are of immediate physical interest. This solves the problem of obtaining an $\mathrm{O}^{+}(3)$ basis (non-orthogonal) for IR of $\mathrm{U}(9)$ and $\mathrm{U}(7)$. The earlier notation of Prasad (1972) is adopted.

## 2. Construction of the lowering operators

Let us denote the highest weight polynomial (HWP) of the $\operatorname{IR}[\lambda] \equiv\left[\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}, \lambda_{4}\right]$ of $\mathrm{O}^{+}(9)$ contained in an IR $\{h\} \equiv\left\{h_{1}, h_{2}, \ldots, h_{8}\right\}$ of $\mathrm{U}(9)$ as $P^{[h\}[\lambda]}$, which can be obtained by the method of Prasad (1972). Now our aim is to obtain the HwP of the various IR, $L$, of $\mathrm{O}^{+}(3)$ contained in the $\mathbb{R}[\lambda]$ of $\mathrm{O}^{+}(9)$.

The following linear combinations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H=\sum_{i=1}^{l}(l-i+1) \Lambda_{i}^{i} \\
& E_{+}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{m=0}^{l-1}[(l+m+1)(l-m)]^{1 / 2} \Lambda_{l-m}^{l+1-m},
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{-}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{m=0}^{l-1}[(l+m+1)(l-m)]^{1 / 2} \Lambda_{l+1-m}^{l-m}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Lambda_{\mu}^{\mu^{\prime}}$ are the generators of $\mathrm{O}^{+}(9)$, with $l=4$, are the generators of $\mathrm{O}^{+}(3) \subset \mathrm{O}^{+}(9)$. With respect to this $\mathrm{O}^{+}(3)$ subgroup, the generators of $\mathrm{O}^{+}(9)$ can be combined to form the components of irreducible tensors of ranks $k=7,5$ and 3 . From the commutation relations of $H$ and $E_{+}$with the generators of $\mathrm{O}^{+}(9)$, one can easily see that

$$
T_{7}^{(7)}=\Lambda_{1}^{8}, \quad T_{5}^{(5)}=2 \Lambda_{1}^{6}-3 \Lambda_{2}^{7}
$$

and

$$
T_{3}^{(3)}=-\frac{\sqrt{ } 7}{5} \Lambda_{1}^{4}-\frac{\sqrt{ } 14}{2 \sqrt{ } 5} \Lambda_{2}^{5}+\Lambda_{3}^{6}
$$

The other components of these tensors can be obtained by using equations (152) of Racah (1951). From (1) it follows that the HWP of the IR [ $i$ ] of $\mathrm{O}^{+}(9), P^{\{h\{\lambda]}$, is also the HWP of the IR $L=4 \lambda_{1}+3 \lambda_{2}+2 \lambda_{3}+\lambda_{4}$ of $\mathrm{O}^{+}(3)$, which is the highest IR of $\mathrm{O}^{+}(3)$ contained in the IR [ $\lambda$ ] of $\mathrm{O}^{+}(9)$. We therefore denote this HWP as $P_{L}^{[h h\{\lambda]}$. Now we construct certain lowering operators which, when operating on $P_{L}^{[h[\lambda]}$, give us the HWP of the various other IR of $\mathrm{O}^{+}(3)$ contained in the same IR, $[\lambda]$, of $\mathrm{O}^{+}(9)$. We denote the lowering operator, which operating on the HWP of an IR, $L$, of $\mathrm{O}^{+}(3)$ gives us the HWP of the IR, $L^{\prime}$ of $\mathrm{O}^{+}(3)$, as $\mathscr{L}_{L L^{\prime}}^{(k)}$. The meaning of $k$ will become clear later. The lowering operator $\mathscr{L}_{L L}^{(k)}$, which must be a function of the generators of $\mathrm{O}^{+}(9)$, must therefore satisfy the following conditions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
H \mathscr{L}_{L L}^{(k)} \cdot P_{L}^{[h][\lambda]}=L^{\prime} \mathscr{L}_{L L}^{(k)} P_{L}^{[h\{\lambda]} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{+} \mathscr{L}_{L L}^{(k)} P_{L}^{[h][\lambda]}=0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\mathscr{L}_{L L}^{(k)}$, to satisfy the condition (2), as a simple function, we choose it as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{L}_{L L^{\prime}}^{(k)}=\sum_{q=k}^{-k} A_{q}\left(E_{-}\right)^{q+L-L^{\prime}} T_{q}^{(k)} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{q}$ are constants, to be determined. The $k$ appearing in the lowering operator symbol indicates that we are using irreducible tensors of rank $k$ in the right-hand side of (4). Terms corresponding to negative values of $q+L-L^{\prime}$, for a given $L$ and $L^{\prime}$, are to be dropped in the summation on the right-hand side of (4). As we have already obtained irreducible tensors of ranks $k=7,5$ and 3 , out of the generators of $\mathrm{O}^{+}(9)$, substituting them separately in the right-hand side of (4) we get three lowering operators $\mathscr{L}_{L L}^{(7)}$, $\mathscr{L}_{L L}^{(5)}$ and $\mathscr{L}_{L L^{\prime}}^{(3)}$.

In the following, we will explain how the constants $A_{q}, q=3, \ldots,-3$, occurring in the expression for $\mathscr{L}_{L L^{\prime}}^{(3)}$ are determined. It can be easily seen that

$$
\left[\mathscr{L}_{L L^{\prime}}^{(3)}, H\right]=\left(L-L^{\prime}\right) \mathscr{L}_{L L^{\prime}}^{(3)}
$$

Thus condition (2) is satisfied by $\mathscr{L}_{L L}^{(3)}$. We determine the constants $A_{q}$ such that condition (3) is also satisfied. We note that if

$$
\left[E_{+}, \mathscr{L}_{L L}^{(3)}\right] P_{L}^{\{h[\lambda]}=0
$$

then

$$
E_{+} \mathscr{L}_{L L}^{(3)} P_{L}^{(h[\lambda]}=\mathscr{L}_{L L}^{(3)} \cdot E_{+} P_{L}^{(h][\lambda]}=0
$$

that is, condition (3) is satisfied. Now $\left[E_{+}, \mathscr{L}_{L L}^{(3)}\right] P_{L}^{\{h][\lambda]}=0$ gives us equations of the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{1} A_{3}+x_{2} A_{2}=0, \quad x_{3} A_{2}+x_{4} A_{1}=0, \\
& x_{11} A_{-2}+x_{12} A_{-3}=0 \quad \text { and } \quad x_{13} A_{-3}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

From the first six equations we determine $A_{2}, A_{1}, \ldots, A_{-3}$ in terms of $A_{3}$. If we substitute this value of $A_{-3}$ in the last equation we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(3+L-L^{\prime}\right)(2+ & \left.L-L^{\prime}\right)\left(1+L-L^{\prime}\right)\left(L-L^{\prime}\right)\left(L-L^{\prime}-1\right)\left(L-L^{\prime}-2\right)\left(L-L^{\prime}-3\right) \\
& \times\left(4+L+L^{\prime}\right)\left(3+L+L^{\prime}\right)\left(2+L+L^{\prime}\right)\left(1+L+L^{\prime}\right)\left(L+L^{\prime}\right) \\
& \times\left(L+L^{\prime}-1\right)\left(L+L^{\prime}-2\right) A_{3}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

As we are interested in $L^{\prime}<L$, this equation is satisfied whenever $L^{\prime}=L-1$ or $L-2$ or $L-3$. Therefore choosing $A_{3}$ as $-(6!)^{1 / 2}$ we can obtain the values of $A_{2}, A_{1}, \ldots, A_{-3}$, and the $\mathscr{L}_{L L^{\prime}}^{(3)}$, with these constants incorporated, satisfies the equation

$$
\left[E_{+}, \mathscr{L}_{L L}^{(3)}\right] P_{L}^{\{h\}[\lambda]}=0
$$

which in turn implies that the $\mathscr{L}_{L L}^{(3)}$, satisfies the condition (3), whenever $L^{\prime}=L-1$ or $L-2$ or $L-3$.

In a similar way, we construct $\mathscr{L}_{L L}^{(7)}$, which can be used to reduce an IR, $L$, to an IR $L^{\prime}=L-1, L-2, \ldots, L-7$, and $\mathscr{L}_{L L^{\prime}}^{(5)}$, which can be used to reduce an IR, $L$, to an IR, $L^{\prime}=L-1, L-2, \ldots, L-5$, of $\mathrm{O}^{+}(3)$. Thus, we get the final form for the lowering operators, $\mathscr{L}_{L L}^{(k)}$, as
$\mathscr{L}_{L L}^{(k)}=\sum_{q=k}^{-k}(-1)^{q}\left(\frac{(k+q)!}{2^{k-q}(k-q)!}\right)^{1 / 2} \frac{\left(L-L^{\prime}+k\right)!\left(L+L^{\prime}+k+1\right)!}{\left(L-L^{\prime}+q\right)!\left(L+L^{\prime}+q+1\right)!}\left(E_{-}\right)^{q+L-L^{\prime}} T_{q}^{(k)}$,
where $k=7,5,3$.
As we have chosen $A_{3}$ in a particular way, the lowering operator, $\mathscr{L}_{L L}^{(3)}$, is unique except for a multiplicative constant and this is enough for our present purpose, since the HWP of an IR is unique except for a multiplicative constant. A similar argument holds for $\mathscr{L}_{L L^{\prime}}^{(7)}$, and $\mathscr{L}_{L L^{\prime}}^{(5)}$. It can be easily seen that

$$
C \mathscr{L}_{L L}^{(k)} P_{L}^{\{h][\lambda]}=L^{\prime}\left(L^{\prime}+1\right) \mathscr{L}_{L L}^{(k)}, P_{L}^{\{h\}[\lambda]}, \quad k=7,5,3,
$$

where $C=H^{2}-H+2 E_{+} E_{-}$is the Casimir operator for $\mathrm{O}^{+}(3)$.
From the HWP, $P_{L}^{\{h\}}[\lambda]$, using suitable products of the lowering operators $\mathscr{L}_{L L}^{(k)}$, HWP of the various other IR of $\mathrm{O}^{+}(3)$ contained in the IR $[\lambda]$ of $\mathrm{O}^{+}(9)$ can be obtained. Linear combinations of $\mathscr{L}_{L L}^{(k)}$, will not be helpful for us in this context. On the other hand we require products of $\mathscr{L}_{L L}^{(k)}$ only. This is illustrated through the following example. The HWP of the IR [22] of $\mathrm{O}^{+}(9)$ contained in the IR $\{22\}$ of $\mathrm{U}(9)$ is $(12)^{2}$, in the notation of Prasad (1972). It is also the HWP of the highest IR, $L=14$, of $\mathrm{O}^{+}(3)$ contained in the IR [22] of $\mathrm{O}^{+}(9)$. Let us write $P=(12)^{2}$. The IR [22] of $\mathrm{O}^{+}(9)$ contains (Prasad et al 1974) the IR $14,12^{2}, 11,10^{3}, 9^{2}, 8^{4}, 7^{3}, 6^{5}, 5^{3}, 4^{5}, 3^{2}, 2^{4}, 0^{2}$ of $\mathrm{O}^{+}(3)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathscr{L}_{14,12}^{(7)} P=7(12)(23)-10 \sqrt{ } 7(13)^{2}+42(12)(14), \\
& \mathscr{L}_{14,12}^{(5)} P=40(12)(23)-7 \sqrt{ } 7(13)^{2}+6(12)(14),
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\mathscr{L}_{14,12}^{(3)} P=-29(12)(23)-\sqrt{ } 7(13)^{2}+24(12)(14) .
$$

Out of these three HWP, only two are linearly independent and let us take $\mathscr{L}_{14.12}^{(7)} P=Q$ and $\mathscr{L}_{14.12}^{(3)} P=R$ as the HWP corresponding to the double occurrence of the IR 12 of $\mathrm{O}^{+}(3)$. The HWP of the IR 11 of $\mathrm{O}^{+}(3)$ can be obtained either as $\mathscr{L}_{14,11}^{(7)} P$ or $\mathscr{L}_{14,11}^{(5)} P$ or $\mathscr{L}_{14,11}^{(3)} P$ or $\mathscr{L}_{12,11}^{(7)} Q=\mathscr{L}_{12,11}^{(7)} \mathscr{L}_{14,12}^{(7)} P$ or $\mathscr{L}_{12,11}^{(7)} R=\mathscr{L}_{12,11}^{(7)} \mathscr{L}_{14,12}^{(3)} P$ or $\mathscr{L}_{12,11}^{(5)} \mathscr{L}_{14,12}^{(7)} P$ or $\mathscr{L}_{12,11}^{(5)} \mathscr{L}_{14,12}^{(3)} P$ or $\mathscr{L}_{12,11}^{(3)} \mathscr{L}_{14,12}^{(7)} P$ or $\mathscr{L}_{12,11}^{(3)} \mathscr{L}_{14,12}^{(3)} P$. Again, all these HWP of the IR 11 of $\mathrm{O}^{+}(3)$ can be expressed in terms of any one of them, for example, $\mathscr{L}_{14,11}^{(7)} P$. This can be taken as the HWP corresponding to the single occurrence of the IR 11 of $\mathrm{O}^{+}(3)$.

Once we get the HWP of an IR of $\mathrm{O}^{+}(3)$, we can generate the whole basis by applying suitable powers of $E_{-}$on it.

Proceeding similarly we construct the lowering operators for $\mathrm{O}^{+}(7) \rightarrow \mathrm{O}^{+}(3)$. The combinations (1), where $\Lambda_{\mu}^{\mu^{\prime}}$ are the generators of $\mathrm{O}^{+}(7)$, with $l=3$, are the generators of $\mathrm{O}^{+}(3) \subset \mathrm{O}^{+}(7)$. With respect to this $\mathrm{O}^{+}(3)$ subgroup, the generators of $\mathrm{O}^{+}(7)$ can be combined as follows to obtain the components of irreducible tensors of ranks $k=5$ and 3 :

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{5}^{(5)}=\Lambda_{1}^{6}, \quad T_{3}^{(3)}=\Lambda_{1}^{4}-\sqrt{ } 2 \Lambda_{2}^{5} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The other components of these tensors can be obtained by using equations (152) of Racah (1951). Using these two irreducible tensors, (6), and the $E_{-}$of $\mathrm{O}^{+}(3) \subset \mathrm{O}^{+}(7)$ in (5) we get the two lowering operators $\mathscr{L}_{L L}^{(5)}$, and $\mathscr{L}_{L L^{\prime}}^{(3)}$ for $\mathrm{O}^{+}(7) \rightarrow \mathrm{O}^{+}(3)$.

## 3. Discussion

The combinations (1), where $\Lambda_{\mu}^{\mu^{\prime}}$ are the generators of $\mathrm{O}^{+}(2 l+1)$, are the generators of $\mathrm{O}^{+}(3) \subset \mathrm{O}^{+}(2 l+1)$. We can show that with respect to this $\mathrm{O}^{+}(3)$ subgroup, the generators of $\mathrm{O}^{+}(2 l+1)$ can be combined to form the components of irreducible tensors of ranks $k=2 l-1,2 l-3, \ldots, 3$. Thus, the generalization to $\mathrm{O}^{+}(2 l+1) \rightarrow \mathrm{O}^{+}(3)$ is evident. Though the lowering operators we have constructed here are found to be completely sufficient as far as the $\mathrm{O}^{+}(3)$ contents of $\mathrm{O}^{+}(9)$ and $\mathrm{O}^{+}(7)$ (Prasad et al 1974, Hamermesh 1962) even when there is multiplicity for the IR of $\mathrm{O}^{+}(3)$, we are at present not in a position to answer the multiplicity question in general. The lowering operators for $\mathrm{O}^{+}(2 l+1) \rightarrow \mathrm{O}^{+}(3)$ and the answer to the multiplicity question we hope to give in a future paper. One can construct raising operators in a similar way, which starting from the lowest IR of $\mathrm{O}^{+}(3)$ contained in a given IR of $\mathrm{O}^{+}(9)$ will take us to the higher IR of $\mathrm{O}^{+}(3)$ contained in the same IR of $\mathrm{O}^{+}(9)$. In the case of lowering operators we know the HWP of the highest IR of $\mathrm{O}^{+}(3)$ contained in a given IR of $\mathrm{O}^{+}(9)$ directly by the method of Prasad (1972), whereas in the case of raising operators we do not know the hwP of the lowest $\mathbb{R}$ of $\mathrm{O}^{+}(3)$ contained in the given IR of $\mathrm{O}^{+}(9)$.
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Note added in proof. Similar work has been carried out by the author and M Kondala Rao for the symplectic group and lowering operators for $\operatorname{Sp}(n) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}(3), n=6,8,10$, are explicitly constructed.
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